



2026:AHC:53806

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 9090 of 2026

Furkan

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Anand Pandey, Shravan Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. Heard Sri Revati Raman Pandey, Advocate (AOR No.A/R 0631/16) holding brief of Sri Shravan Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri D.P.S. Chauhan, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. Instant bail application has been filed with a prayer to release the applicant on bail during the trial in Case Crime No. 1144 of 2025, under Sections 303(2), 317(2), 317(4) BNS, Police Station- Quarsi, District Aligarh.
3. Contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant was not named in the FIR. Subsequently after three days of the incident, a false recovery of Santro Car mentioned in the FIR, shown to be recovered from the joint possession of the applicant and co-accused Moinudeen though there is no compliance of provision of Section 105 BNSS regarding videography of the aforesaid recovery despite the fact that there was no public witness of the aforesaid recovery. He lastly submitted that charge sheet has been filed by the police, therefore, there is no requirement for custodial interrogation. The applicant has explained the criminal history of four cases in the accompanying affidavit and he is languishing in jail since 25.11.2025. In case, he is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.
4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. for the State opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid fact.
5. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the nature of offence, evidence, complicity of accused and taking into account overcrowded jails and heavy pendency of criminal cases before the trial courts as well as considering the mandate of the judgement of the Apex Court in the case of **Kapil Wadhawan vs Central Bureau of Investigation** reported in **2025 SCC Online SC 3038** and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on

bail.

6. Let the applicant- **Furkan** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

i. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

ii. The applicant shall cooperate in the trial/investigation sincerely without seeking any adjournment.

iii. The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

iv. The applicant shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed by him.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

8. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted.

9. It is made clear that the applicant shall be released on the basis of downloaded copy of this order from the official website of High Court Allahabad and verified by the concerned counsel with the undertaking that the certified copy will be filed within 15 days.

10. It is directed that the trial court shall send the release order to the concerned jail through Bail Order Management System (BOMS) to ensure early release of the applicant.

11. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the applicant through concerned Jail Superintendent via e-mail or e-prison portal in compliance of the order of the Apex Court in the case of **Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail, In Re: Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No. 4 of 2021 decided on 31.01.2023** reported in **(2024) 10 SCC 685**.

March 17, 2026
A.Kr.

(Arun Kumar Singh Deshwal,J.)