

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 1123/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 15-12-2025 in CRLA No. 10391/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

ARSHEEL @ AMAAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 18806/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 18805/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 21-01-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Manoj Kumar Srivastava, AOR
Mr. Akshansh Harsh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that challenging the same impugned order passed by the High Court in Criminal Appeal No.10391 of 2025, a petition bearing SLP(Criminal) No.880 of 2026 was listed before a Bench presided over by B.V.Nagarathna, J. in which notice has been issued and operation of the impugned order has been stayed.

2. Against the same impugned order, a petition filed by the other co-accused whose bail order has been cancelled by the High Court, has been listed before this Court.

3. Let the present petition be also listed before the Bench presided over by B.V.Nagarathna,J. after obtaining appropriate order from Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India.

4. The Registry is directed to place complete facts before Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India that against the same impugned order passed by the High Court arising out of the same FIR, why two petitions filed before this Court have been listed before different Benches. Responsibility of the guilty officer needs to be fixed.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)
AR-CUM-PS

(AKSHAY KUMAR BHORIA)
COURT MASTER