

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).1422/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13-11-2024 in BA No.4110/2024 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

MAHESH KHATRI @ BHOLI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE NCT OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

(IA No.25948/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 8799/2025 (II-D)

(IA No. 129923/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

SLP(Crl) No. 4276/2025 (II-A)

(IA No. 55791/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 55789/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No.55787/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

Date : 16-12-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Trideep Pais, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Sanya Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Saloni Ambastha, Adv.

Mr. Harsh Jain, Adv.

Ms. Sakshi Jain, Adv.

Ms. Ankita Gupta, AOR

Mr. Ishan Kapoor, Adv.

Ms. Joshini Tuli, Adv.

Mr. Joginder Tuli, Adv.

Mrs. Gargi Khanna, AOR

Mr. Kartik Murukuthla, Adv.

Mr. Farrukh Rasheed, AOR

Ms. Shifa, Adv.

Mr. Abu Bakr Sabbaq, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Aniruddha Deshmukh, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.

Mr. S D Sanjay, A.S.G.
Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
Mr. Nimesh Bhatt, Adv.

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.
Ms. Ruchi Kholi, Adv.
Mr. Bhuvan Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Chitrangda Rashtravara, Adv.
Mr. Gyanendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Digvijay Dam, Adv.
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Khare, Adv.
Ms. Manisha Chava, Adv.
Ms. Shagun Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Annirudha Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. G. Siddi Ramulu, Adv.

Mr. Satya Darshi Sanjay, A.S.G.
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Adv.
Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Sunanda Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Jagdish Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Santosh Ramdurg, Adv.
Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.
Mr. Khushal Kolwar, Adv.
Mr. Shubh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shubham Prakash Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Parthvi Ahuja, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR

Mr. Sudhir Bisla, Adv.
Mr. Jayant Mohan, AOR
Ms. Sumitra Bisla, Adv.
Ms. Meenakshi Chatterjee, Adv.
Ms. Adya Shree Dutta, Adv.
Ms. Dorjee Ongmu Lachungpa, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Prasanna, Adv.
Ms. Vani Singhal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. In continuation of the previous orders, Ms. Aishwarya Bhati and Mr. S.D. Sanjay, learned Additional Solicitor General of India have handed over some documents and brief notes with respect to the progress made so far for the purpose of creating exclusive Special Courts to try offences under various Central Penal Laws.
2. We are hopeful that with the commitment shown by the Union of India, for providing infrastructural and the recurring expenditure, necessary steps for the establishment of the Special Courts shall be taken. We expect all the stakeholders to do the needful within four weeks.
3. Post these matters *re: Creation of Special Exclusive Courts* on 10.02.2026.
4. So far as the NCT of Delhi is concerned, it is submitted by the learned ASG that 16 Courts have been identified and the same are likely to be completed/established within a period of three months.
5. Learned counsel for the High Court of Delhi seeks and is granted three weeks' time to place on record the affidavit.
6. As regards the petitioners' prayer for bail, post the matters for hearing on 06.01.2026.
7. During the course of the hearing, this has also transpired that in matters of grave offences under the Central Penal Laws, where the organised professional/hardcore criminals are involved, they take undue advantage of the territorial jurisdictional issues in NCR. Sometimes the offence is committed in 'A' State and its

trials are traceable in 'B' or 'C' State also. However, which police or agency should take cognizance of the matter for prompt investigation or which Court should be the competent jurisdictional Court, becomes a debatable issue in the ensuing criminal trial. The eventual benefit goes to the unscrupulous criminals, which may not be in the interest of society or the nation. It seems that the issue requires consideration before the relevant quarters, including the desirability of the formulation of an effective law for optimum utilization of the existing legal architecture.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR