## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

## CIVIL APPEAL No.10487 OF 2025 (Arising out of SLP (C) No.18410 of 2025)

MOHIT KUMAR

... APPELLANT

Versus

**KULDEEP SINGH & ORS.** 

... RESPONDENTS

## ORDER

- 1. Leave granted.
- 2. The controversy pertains to the election of Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Buana Lakhu village, District Panipat, Haryana. Election was held on 02.11.2022, and respondent No.1 was declared to have been elected. There arose a controversy as to whether respondent No.1 was rightly declared elected or if the appellant ought to have been declared as the returned candidate. The appellant, thereafter, filed an Election Petition challenging the result of the election. Respondent No.1 initially raised a preliminary objection of limitation, which was turned down up to this Court, and the Election Tribunal was directed to take up the petition every week and decide the same within four months.
- Thereafter, the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)-cum-Election Tribunal, Panipat, *vide* a self-speaking judgment dated 22.04.2025, held that in view of the findings returned on Issue Nos.2 to 6 by it, a case of recounting of votes of Booth No.69 was made out. Consequently, the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Election Officer was directed to re-count the votes in Booth No.69 on 07.05.2025.
- 4. The above-stated order of the Election Tribunal has been some stated by the High Court *vide* the impugned judgment dated in the state of the impugned state of the impugned in the state of the impugned in the impugned i
  - 5. When the instant matter came up for hearing before this Court on 31.07.2025, the following order was passed:
    - "1. Having heard learned senior counsel for the

parties at a considerable length and taking into consideration the peculiar facts and circumstances of this 1 case, the Deputy Commissioner and the District Election Officer, Panipat, Haryana is directed to produce all the EVMs before the Registrar of this Court, to be nominated by the Secretary General, at 10 a.m. on 06.08.2025. The nominated Registrar shall recount the votes, not only of the disputed booth but of all the booths. The recounting shall be duly videographed. The petitioner as well as the respondent no.1 or their authorized agents shall remain present at the time of recounting. The assisting counsel for the parties before us shall also remain present. recount result shall be duly signed by the learned Registrar, the petitioner and the respondent no.1 or their authorized representative as well as the learned assisting counsel.

- 2. The recounting shall be without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties and subject to the objections that may be filed by the parties.
- 3. The Registry is directed to communicate a copy of this order to the Deputy Commissioner and the District Election Officer Panipat, Haryana for necessary compliance.
- 4. Post this matter on 11.08.2025 at 2 p.m. before this Bench."
- 6. In deference thereto, learned Secretary General nominated Ms. Kaveri, who is presently posted as OSD (Registrar) to comply with the above order. The OSD (Registrar), with the logistic assistance provided by the Secretary General of this Court, recounted the votes of all the Booths (65 to 70) and has submitted a report dated 06.08.2025 based thereupon. The recounting of votes from each Booth has been appended at Flag `C' and compilation thereof is at flag `D' of the report. The translated copy of the disputed form No.19 (re: declaration of result from Booth No.69) has also been produced at page No.157 of the Report. Finally, learned OSD (Registrar) has compiled the revised result, which reads as follows:

S.No.	Name of Candidate as per Consolidated Votes	
1.	Kuldeep Singh	1000
2.	Jaswant Singh	27
3.	Naveen Kumar	491

4.	Mahavir	237
5.	Mohit Kumar	1051
6.	Samer	125
7.	Sunil Kumar	815
8.	NOTA	21
	Total	3767

- 7. Ordinarily, the recounting of votes having been done by a Senior Judicial Officer, who is currently serving as an OSD (Registrar) in this Court, would have been the end of the matter and the proceedings could be closed by declaring the appellant as the elected candidate. However, Mr. Gagan Gupta, learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.1, submits that in addition to the issue of recount, some other issues have also been raised before the Election Tribunal, where the evidence is complete and the case is ripe for final arguments.
- 8. On the other hand, Ms. Liz Mathew, learned Senior Counsel for the appellant, submits that all other issues have, in fact, been adjudicated by the Election Tribunal on 22.04.2025 and the only one left for adjudication was pertaining to declaration of the election result after recounting of votes. However, that judgment of the Election Tribunal has been set aside by the High Court, giving rise to the instant appeal.
- 9. Since, the recounting of votes has now been completed pursuant to our order dated 31.07.2025, the impugned judgment of the High Court cannot sustain and the same is, accordingly, set aside.
- Similarly, there being *prima facie* no reason to doubt the 10. Report submitted by the OSD (Registrar) of this Court, especially when the entire recounting has been duly videographed and its result is signed by the representatives of the parties, we are satisfied that the appellant deserves to be declared as the elected Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Buana Lakhu Village, District Panipat, 22.11.2022 Haryana in the election held on The Deputy Commissioner-cum-Election Officer, **Panipat** is, accordingly, directed to issue a Notification in this regard within two days

declaring the appellant as the elected Sarpanch of the abovementioned Gram Panchayat. The appellant shall be entitled to assume the said office forthwith and perform his duties.

- 11. However, in the interest of justice, we allow the parties to agitate the issues, if any, left to be adjudicated. The Election Tribunal may, accordingly, accord them an opportunity of being heard and deliver the final judgment. The Election Tribunal, however, shall accept the Report of the OSD (Registrar) of this Court as the final and conclusive report so far as the result of recounting of votes is concerned. The Report submitted by the OSD (Registrar) of this Court shall be re-sealed and sent to the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)-cum-Election Tribunal, Panipat to make it a part of the record of the Election Petition.
- 12. Similarly, the EVMs or any other record, which were summoned, shall also be returned to the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Election Tribunal, Panipat.
- 13. The direction issued hereinabove to declare the appellant as an elected Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Buana Lakhu, District Panipat shall, however, be subject to the final judgment that may be passed by the Election Tribunal.
- 14. The appeal stands disposed of in the above terms.
- 15. As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.
- 16. Copy of the order be given dasti.

	(SURYA KANT)
	(DIPANKAR DATTA)
EW DELHI;	J. (NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH)

NEW DELHI; AUGUST 11, 2025. ITEM NO.301 COURT NO.2 SECTION IV-B

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).18410/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-07-2025 in CR No.2717/2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

MOHIT KUMAR Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

**KULDEEP SINGH & ORS.** 

Respondent(s)

IA No. 162580/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 162581/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date: 11-08-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Liz Mathew, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Par<mark>ve</mark>en Kumar, AOR

Mr. Mah<mark>en</mark>dra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Deepak Tushir, Adv.

Mr. Ankur Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Rajnish Mann, Adv.

Ms. Garima Khetal, Adv.

Ms. Niharika, Adv.

Ms. Mallika Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Dilip Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Alok Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Ashish Kumar Rai, Adv.

Ms. Rajni Rani, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gagan Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Karan Kapoor, Adv.

Mr. Manik Kapoor, Adv.

Ms. Srishti Singla, Adv.

Mr. Shrey Kapoor, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

- 1. Leave granted.
- 2. The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.
- 3. As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.
- 4. Copy of the order be given dasti.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV) ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)