



\$~19

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8475/2025**

**FIROZ KHAN AND ORS**

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Fahad Khan, Mr. Shikhar Sharma  
and Mr. Md. Imran Ahmad,  
Advocates.

versus

**DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (DDA) AND ANR**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC with Mr.  
Kuljeet S., Mr. Shivam Takiar and Mr.  
Prateek Dhir, Advocates for DDA.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA**

**ORDER**

**23.06.2025**

%

1. This hearing has been heard through hybrid mode.
2. The present writ petition has been filed by the Petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the following reliefs:

*“(a) Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, thereby restraining Respondent No. 1 from taking any demolition action against the properties situated at House No. **G-19** (Khasra No. 279/105/2), old reference number 400, Gali No. 11; **G-18** (Khasra No. 279), old reference number 401, Gali No. 11; **G-48** (Khasra No. 285/1), Gali No. 13; **H-3** (Khasra No. 279); **E-7** (Khasra No. 279/1-5/1), old reference number 491; **E-8** (Khasra No. 279/1-5/1); and **E-9** (Khasra No. 279/1/5-1), all situated on Muradi Road, Batla House, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, New Delhi – 110025, without following due process of law.*

*(b) Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ,*



*order, or direction, thereby directing Respondents No. 1 to maintain status quo with respect to the Petitioners' properties pending final adjudication of the present writ petition;*

*(c) Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, thereby directing Respondents No. 1 to furnish to the Petitioners a copy of the demarcation report & proposed action plan if any, along with all supporting documents relied upon for identifying or marking the Petitioners' properties for demolition”*

3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that all the Petitioner Nos. 1 to 4, 6 and 7 fall in Khasra No. 279, however, Petitioner No. 5 falls in Khasra No. 285. All the Petitioners fall in PM-UDAY Scheme.

4. Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Learned Standing Counsel for Delhi Development Authority submits that the impugned Demolition Notices have been issued pursuant to order dated 07<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 passed by the Supreme Court in Contempt Petition (C) No. 335/2021 (*suo moto* petition) in W.P.(C) No. 4677/1985 in the case titled as “***M.C. Mehta v. Union of India and Ors.***”. The said order directed the DDA / Respondent No. 1 and Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi (“GNCTD”) / Respondent No. 2 to take action of demolition of illegal / unauthorized structure in Khasra No. 279 at Village Okhla in relation to the area lying outside PM-UDAY Colony boundary. The said order also clarified that if the occupants are aggrieved by the notices of demolition, they are free to adopt appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

5. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that similarly situated Petitioners approached this Court by way of writ petition titled as “***Ishrat Jahan v. Delhi Development Authority & Anr.***” in W.P.(C) 8267/2025 and “***Sajid Fakhar & Ors. v. Delhi Development Authority & Anr.***” in W.P.(C)



**8452/2025**. *Vide* order dated 04<sup>th</sup> June, 2025, the Vacation Judge had recorded the submissions of the parties and listed the said matters on 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2025 before the Roster Bench and directed that in the meantime, *status quo* shall be maintained by the parties.

6. The respondents are directed to file reply within a period of two weeks.
7. Rejoinder thereto, if any, may be filed before the next date of hearing thereafter.
8. List along with W.P.(C) 8267/2025 and W.P.(C) 8452/2025 on 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2025 before Roster Bench.
9. In the meantime, *status quo* shall be maintained by the parties.

**RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA**  
**(VACATION JUDGE)**

**JUNE 23, 2025/MR/abk**

LawChakra.in